

**MICROSOFT DESIGN PROPOSALS FOR THE  
ECMAScript 2.0 LANGUAGE SPECIFICATION**

**ECMA COMMITTEE #39**

**VERSION 0.1**

**JULY 10, 1997**

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>DETAILS .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	CONVENTIONS AND SEMANTIC BUILDING BLOCKS .....	2
2.2	FEEDBACK .....	2
<b>3</b>	<b>NEW OPERATORS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	EXTENSION OF PUNCTUATORS .....	3
3.2	EXTENSION OF EQUALITY EXPRESSION .....	3
<b>4</b>	<b>NEW CONTROL FLOW CONSTRUCTS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	EXTENSION OF STATEMENTS .....	5
4.2	EXTENSION OF THE COMPLETION TYPE .....	5
4.3	THE <code>switch</code> STATEMENT .....	5
4.4	LABELED STATEMENTS .....	6
4.5	THE <code>break</code> STATEMENT .....	7
4.6	THE <code>continue</code> STATEMENT .....	7
4.7	EXTENSION OF ITERATION STATEMENTS .....	8
4.8	THE <code>do...while</code> STATEMENT .....	8
<b>5</b>	<b>NEW EXECUTION CONTEXT FEATURES .....</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1	CALLER .....	9
<b>6</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>10</b>

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Vendors are continuing to innovate in areas that we have decided not to deal with for ECMAScript 1.0, and will ship these features before we will have an ECMAScript 2.0 standard. Microsoft seeks to avoid a repetition of the behaviors that caused the current unfortunate situation -- multiple incompatible implementations from multiple vendors, and no sensible language design in the end. If all vendors are open about their plans, and solicit and use feedback from other committee members, then this will not be a problem. We do not need to complete a formal standard before people ship functionality, but we do need to have open discussion. If we do not do this, both the language and our customers will suffer.

Microsoft encourages all vendors to work together in this manner. The first step is to contribute detailed design proposals for ECMAScript 2.0 features. Our proposals are found in this document.

## **2 DETAILS**

### **2.1 CONVENTIONS AND SEMANTIC BUILDING BLOCKS**

This document follows the same conventions and semantic building blocks as the ECMAScript 1.0 specification, which is still in development.

### **2.2 FEEDBACK**

Please send feedback regarding this document to Scott Wiltamuth ([scottwil@microsoft.com](mailto:scottwil@microsoft.com)).

## 3 NEW OPERATORS

### 3.1 EXTENSION OF PUNCTUATORS

*Punctuator* is extended to include `===` and `!==`.

**Syntax**

*Punctuator* :: one of

=	>	<	==	<=	>=
!=	,	!	~	?	:
.	&&		++	--	+
-	*	/	&		^
%	<<	>>	>>>	+=	-=
*=	/=	&=	=	^=	%=
<<=	>>=	>>>=	(	)	{
}	[	]	;	===	!==

### 3.2 EXTENSION OF EQUALITYEXPRESSION

*EqualityExpression* is extended to include `===` and `!==`.

**Syntax**

*EqualityExpression* :

*RelationalExpression*

*EqualityExpression* == *RelationalExpression*

*EqualityExpression* === *RelationalExpression*

*EqualityExpression* != *RelationalExpression*

*EqualityExpression* !== *RelationalExpression*

The production *EqualityExpression*: *EqualityExpression* === *RelationalExpression* is evaluated as follows:

1. Evaluate *EqualityExpression*.
2. Call GetValue(Result(1)).
3. Evaluate *RelationalExpression*.
4. Call GetValue(Result(3)).
5. Perform the comparison Result(4) === Result(2). (See below.)
6. Return Result(5).

The production *EqualityExpression*: *EqualityExpression* !== *RelationalExpression* is evaluated as follows:

1. Evaluate *EqualityExpression*.
2. Call GetValue(Result(1)).
3. Evaluate *RelationalExpression*.
4. Call GetValue(Result(3)).
5. Perform the comparison Result(4) === Result(2). (See below.)
6. If Result(5) is **true**, return **false**. Otherwise, return **true**.

The comparison  $x === y$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are values, produces **true** or **false**. Such a comparison is performed as follows:

1. If  $\text{Type}(x)$  is different from  $\text{Type}(y)$ , return **false**.
2. If  $\text{Type}(x)$  is not **Number**, go to step 9.
3. If  $x$  is **NaN**, return **false**.
4. If  $y$  is **NaN**, return **false**.
5. If  $x$  is the same number value as  $y$ , return **true**.
6. If  $x$  is **+0** and  $y$  is **-0**, return **true**.
7. If  $x$  is **-0** and  $y$  is **+0**, return **true**.
8. Return **false**.
9. If  $\text{Type}(x)$  is **String**, then return **true** if  $x$  and  $y$  are exactly the same sequence of characters (same length and same characters in corresponding positions). Otherwise, return **false**.
10. If  $\text{Type}(x)$  is **Boolean**, return **true** if  $x$  and  $y$  are both **true** or both **false**. Otherwise, return **false**.
11. Return **true** if  $x$  and  $y$  refer to the same object. Otherwise, return **false**.
12. Return **false**.

## 4 NEW CONTROL FLOW CONSTRUCTS

### 4.1 EXTENSION OF STATEMENTS

*Statement* is extended to include *SwitchStatement* and *LabeledStatement*.

*Statement* :

*Block*  
*VariableStatement*  
*EmptyStatement*  
*ExpressionStatement*  
*IfStatement*  
*IterationStatement*  
*ContinueStatement*  
*BreakStatement*  
*ReturnStatement*  
*WithStatement*  
*LabeledStatement*  
*SwitchStatement*

### 4.2 EXTENSION OF THE COMPLETION TYPE

The Completion type is extended in order to define labeled break and continue.

The original Completion type values, as specified in the first version of the standard, are:

- “normal completion”
- “normal completion after value *V*”
- “abrupt completion because of **break**”
- “abrupt completion after value *V* because of **break**”
- “abrupt completion because of **continue**”
- “abrupt completion after value *V* because of **continue**”
- “abrupt completion because of **return** *V*” where *V* is a value

The new Completion type values are:

- “abrupt completion because of **break** with label *I*” where *I* is an identifier.
- “abrupt completion after value *V* because of **break** with label *I*” where *I* is an identifier.
- “abrupt completion because of **continue** with label *I*” where *I* is an identifier.
- “abrupt completion after value *V* because of **continue** with label *I*” where *I* is an identifier.

### 4.3 THE **switch** STATEMENT

**Syntax**

*SwitchStatement* :

**switch** ( *Expression* ) *CaseBlock*

*CaseBlock* :

{ *CaseClauses<sub>opt</sub>* }

$\{ \textit{CaseClauses}_{opt} \textit{DefaultClause} \textit{CaseClauses}_{opt} \}$

*CaseClauses* :

*CaseClause*

*CaseClauses CaseClause*

*CaseClause* :

**case** *Expression* : *StatementList*<sub>opt</sub>

*DefaultClause* :

**default** : *StatementList*<sub>opt</sub>

### Semantics

The production *SwitchStatement* : **switch** ( *Expression* ) *CaseBlock* is evaluated as follows:

1. Evaluate *Expression*.
2. Call GetValue(Result(1)).
3. Evaluate *CaseBlock*, passing it Result(2) as a parameter.
4. If Result(3) is “abrupt completion because of **break**”, return “normal completion”.
5. If Result(3) is “abrupt completion after value *V* because of **break**”, return “normal completion after value *V*”.
6. Return Result(3).

The production *CaseBlock* :  $\{ \textit{CaseClauses}_1 \textit{DefaultClause} \textit{CaseClauses}_2 \}$  is given an input parameter, *input*, and is evaluated as follows:

1. Let *A* be the list of *CaseClause* items in *CaseClauses*<sub>1</sub>, in source text order.
2. For the next *CaseClause* in *A*, evaluate *CaseClause*. If there is no such *CaseClause*, go to step 7.
3. If *input* is not equal to Result(2), as defined by the !== operator, go to step 2.
4. Evaluate the *StatementList* of this *CaseClause*.
5. If Result(4) is an abrupt completion then return Result(4).
6. Go to step 13.
7. Let *B* be the list of *CaseClause* items in *CaseClauses*<sub>2</sub>, in source text order.
8. For the next *CaseClause* in *B*, evaluate *CaseClause*. If there is no such *CaseClause*, go to step 15.
9. If *input* is not equal to Result(8), as defined by the !== operator, go to step 8.
10. Evaluate the *StatementList* of this *CaseClause*.
11. If Result(10) is an abrupt completion then return Result(10).
12. Go to step 18.
13. For the next *CaseClause* in *A*, evaluate the *StatementList* of this *CaseClause*. If there is no such *CaseClause*, go to step 15.
14. If Result(13) is an abrupt completion then return Result(13).
15. Execute the *StatementList* of *DefaultClause*.
16. If Result(15) is an abrupt completion then return Result(15).
17. Let *B* be the list of *CaseClause* items in *CaseClauses*<sub>2</sub>, in source text order.
18. For the next *CaseClause* in *B*, evaluate the *StatementList* of this *CaseClause*. If there is no such *CaseClause*, return “normal completion”.
19. If Result(18) is an abrupt completion then return Result(18).
20. Go to step 18.

The production *CaseClause* : **case** *Expression* : *StatementList*<sub>opt</sub> is evaluated as follows:

1. Evaluate *Expression*.
2. Call GetValue(Result(1)).
3. Return Result(2).

Note that evaluating *CaseClause* does not execute the associated *StatementList*. It simply evaluates the *Expression* and the value, which the *CaseBlock* algorithm uses to determine which *StatementList* to start executing.

## 4.4 LABELED STATEMENTS

### Syntax

*LabeledStatement* :  
*Identifier* : *Statement*

### Semantics

A *Statement* may be prefixed by a label. Labeled statements are only used in conjunction with labeled **break** and **continue**. ECMAScript has no **goto** statement.

An ECMAScript program is considered syntactically incorrect, and may not be executed at all, if it contains a *LabeledStatement* that is enclosed by a *LabeledStatement* with the same *Identifier* label.

*LabeledStatement* is evaluated as:

1. Evaluate *Statement*.
2. If Result(1) is “abrupt completion because of **break** with label *I* returns” and *I* is the same as *Identifier*, then return “normal completion”.
3. If Result(1) is “abrupt completion after value *V* because of **break** with label *I*” and *I* is the same as *Identifier*, then return “normal completion after value *V*”.
4. If Result(1) is “abrupt completion because of **continue** with label *I*” and *I* is the same as *Identifier*, then go to step 7.
5. If Result(1) is “abrupt completion after value *V* because of **continue** with label *I*” and *I* is the same as *Identifier*, then go to step 7.
6. Return Result(1).
7. Apply the continue operation to *Statement*.
8. Return Result(7).

Issue: The “Apply the continue operation to *Statement*.” needs to be defined in detail. It is not possible to simply re-execute *Statement*, since a statement may carry context forward from the execution that resulted in a **continue**. The context is different for each type of statement, so it will be necessary to do work for each statement type with which **continue** can be employed.

## 4.5 THE **break** STATEMENT

### Syntax

*BreakStatement* :  
**break** [no *LineTerminator* here] *Identifier*<sub>opt</sub> ;

### Semantics

The *BreakStatement* without the optional *Identifier* is as defined in the ECMAScript 1.0 standard. When the identifier is present, it specifies a label that indicates the *LabeledStatement* to which the break applies. This *LabeledStatement* is known as the *break target*.

A program is considered syntactically incorrect, and may not be executed at all, if any of the following are true:

- It contains a **break** statement without the optional identifier, and the **break** statement is not contained within at least one *IterationStatement* or *SwitchStatement*.
- It contains a **break** statement with the optional *Identifier*, where *Identifier* does not match the *Identifier* label of an enclosing *LabeledStatement*.

The **break** statement with the optional *Identifier* is evaluated as:

1. Return “abrupt completion because of **break** with label *Identifier*”.

Issue: The ECMAScript 1.0 specification states “An ECMAScript program is considered syntactically incorrect and may not be executed at all if it contains a **break** statement that is not within at least one **while** or **for** statement.” This needs to be altered for several reasons. First, because the statement as written applies only to a **break** statement without the optional *Identifier*. Second, because we have added new *IterationStatement* types that should be included along with **while** and **for** statements. Third, because we added *SwitchStatement*, and **break** can be used within a *SwitchStatement*.

## 4.6 THE `continue` STATEMENT

### Syntax

*ContinueStatement* :  
**continue** [no *LineTerminator* here] *Identifier*<sub>opt</sub> ;

### Semantics

The *ContinueStatement* without the optional *Identifier* is as defined in the ECMAScript 1.0 standard. When the identifier is present, it specifies a label indicating the *LabeledStatement* to which the `continue` applies. This *LabeledStatement* is known as the *continue target*.

A program is considered syntactically incorrect, and may not be executed at all, if either of the following are true:

- The program contains a **continue** statement with the optional *Identifier*, where *Identifier* does not match the *Identifier* label of an enclosing *LabeledStatement*.
- The *Statement* within the *continue target* is not an *IterationStatement*.

The **continue** statement with the optional *Identifier* is evaluated as:

1. Return “abrupt completion because of **continue** with label *Identifier*”.

Issue: The ECMAScript 1.0 specification says explicitly “An ECMAScript program is considered syntactically incorrect and may not be executed at all if it contains a **continue** statement that is not within at least one **while** or **for** statement.” This is a bit too specific, as it does not include the *IterationStatement* types that are being added as part of the 2.0 specification. This sentence should be changed to “An ECMAScript program is considered syntactically incorrect and may not be executed at all if it contains a **continue** statement that is not within at least one *IterationStatement*.”

## 4.7 EXTENSION OF ITERATION STATEMENTS

*IterationStatement* is extended to include the **do...while** statement.

### Syntax

*IterationStatement* :  
**do** *Statement* **while** ( *Expression* )  
**while** ( *Expression* ) *Statement*  
**for** ( *Expression*<sub>opt</sub> ; *Expression*<sub>opt</sub> ; *Expression*<sub>opt</sub> ) *Statement*  
**for** ( **var** *VariableDeclarationList* ; *Expression*<sub>opt</sub> ; *Expression*<sub>opt</sub> ) *Statement*  
**for** ( *LeftHandSideExpression* **in** *Expression* ) *Statement*  
**for** ( **var** *Identifier* *Initializer*<sub>opt</sub> **in** *Expression* ) *Statement*

## 4.8 THE **DO...WHILE** STATEMENT

The production **do** *Statement* **while** ( *Expression* ) is evaluated as follows:

1. Let *C* be “normal completion”.
2. Evaluate *Statement*.
3. If Result(2) is a value completion, change *C* to be “normal completion after value *V*” where *V* is the value carried by Result(2).
4. If Result(2) is a **return** completion, return Result(2).
5. If Result(2) is a **break** completion, go to step 10.
6. Evaluate *Expression*.
7. Call GetValue(Result(6)).
8. Call ToBoolean(Result(7)).
9. If Result(8) is **true**, go to step 2.
10. Return *C*;

## 5 NEW EXECUTION CONTEXT FEATURES

### 5.1 CALLER

Section 10.1.8 (“Arguments object”) defines what the arguments object. When control enters an execution context for declared function code, anonymous code, or host code, an arguments object is created and initialized according to the steps specified. The “caller” property is added by appending the following step to the already-existing list:

- A property is created with name “caller” and property attributes { DontEnum }. The initial value of this property is the caller’s activation. In the case that the current function was called from global code, the caller property is given an initial value of **null**.

Issue: Need to allow the “foo.caller” usage as well, not just “arguments.caller”.

## 6 REFERENCES

ANSI X3.159-1989: *American National Standard for Information Systems - Programming Language - C*, American National Standards Institute (1989).

Gosling, James, Bill Joy and Guy Steele. *The Java Language Specification*. Addison Wesley Publishing Company 1996.