

**Minutes of the:**

**Ecma TC39, ES3.1WG**

**Phone conference**

**held on:**

**04 March 2008**

## 1 Roll call and logistics

### 1.1 Participants

Doug Crockford (Yahoo!), Pratap Lakshman (Microsoft), Adam Peller (IBM), Allen Wirfs-Brock (Microsoft) and Kris Zyp (The Dojo Foundation).

## 2 Agenda

Discussion on what JScript Deviations to consider for ES3.1

## 3 Minutes

Allen - Have sent items (from the deviations doc) worthy of review to the ES3.1 WG by email.

Doug - I am having second thoughts on some of the things you [Allen] and I discussed earlier - in particular about the test for unreachable code - I am having trouble formulating a rule.

Adam - Of the things in the doc where IE is alone, do you have any strong feelings ?

Kris - where IE is alone, it is probably a bug in IE.

Kris - if IE is different, it is probably a defect in IE and we need not discuss.

PratapL - not necessarily. Look at section 2.11 - that is a case where IE is alone, and all other implementations behave alike (but differently from IE), and yet that is a case where we probably want to fix the spec.

Allen - but section 2.11 is made up of two parts and the first part seems like a bug in IE.

PratapL - you are right. I am specifically referring to the second part.

Allen - there are also some explicit implementation dependencies to look at. In general eliminating explicit implementation dependencies is a good thing.

Adam - there is also an issue Lars pointed out - behaviour of the scope object created for a named function expression.

Allen - having that information would be handy.

Adam - we should leverage Lars' paper although that list is not nearly as comprehensive.

Kris - we should link into that doc too.

PratapL - I can mark up the wiki with the list of deviations for ES3.1 and then you can review it offline and add comments on whether you agree/disagree.

General discussion about calling another F2F meeting around the same time as the March TC39 F2F.

Meeting adjourned.