

Agenda for the:	32 nd meeting of Ecma TC39
in:	San Francisco CA, USA
on:	29-31 January 2013
TIME:	10:00 till 17:00 on 29 th and 30 th of January 2013 10:00 till 16:00 on 31 st of January 2013
LOCATION:	Conference room "The Board Room" Mozilla Foundation 2 Harrison Street San Francisco, CA 94105, USA Street map: click <u>here</u>

Please register here before 23 January 2013.

The Mozilla Foundation San Francisco office manager, <u>Cristina Akimoff</u>, can direct arriving people. Tuesday Jan 29 Mozilla will host an outing at Ozumo (<u>http://www.ozumo.com/</u>) Wednesday Jan 30 -- Ecma hosts an outing to Epic Roasthouse (<u>www.epicroasthouse.com</u>).

- 1 Opening, welcome and roll call
- 1.1 Opening of the meeting (Mr. Neumann)
- **1.2** Introduction of attendees
- **1.3 Host facilities, local logistics**
- 2 Adoption of the agenda (2013/004-Rev1)
- 3 Approval of minutes from November 2012 (2012/086)
- 4 Discussion of ES harmony (technical contributions are available and can be found on the ES wiki)
- 4.1 "is/isnt" operators
- 4.2 Is SameValue really what we want for Map/Set equivalence? (the -0 different from +0 issue)
- 4.3 Parameterize the equivalance operator for Map/Set?
- 4.4 Is there a need for Number.isNaN if we have **Object.is** available?
- 4.5 Why standardizing on __proto__ and not __define(G|S)etter__, __lookup(G|S)etter__ ?

In a recent es-discuss thread, Brendan stated:

[...] So the full answer to Andreas and others' query about why __proto__



normative mandatory in ES6 but no __define/lookup... is:

1. __proto__ much more used on the mobile (iOS WebKit-first) web, no equivalent interop pressure for __d/l.

2. ES5 is in all new/evergreened browsers and it has standard APIs supplanting __d/l but nothing for writing to __proto__.

Therefore ___proto___ gets standardized, ___d/l do not.

Offlist, I mentioned that we should add this discussion to the agenda for next meeting so that we can say this out loud, entered as a resolution in consensus, and then published as a matter of public record.

1. Rationale for not adding Object.setPrototypeOf

https://mail.mozilla.org/pipermail/es-discuss/2012-May/022904.html

Brendan

4.6 A. Kevin Smith's grand compromise proposal for ES6 strict and sloppy semantics

(https://mail.mozilla.org/pipermail/es-discuss/2012-December/027736.html):

> (1) No opt-in required for new syntax, except:

> (2) No breaking changes to sloppy mode, and

- > (3) No grammar contortions (e.g. let) to support sloppy mode. And
- > (4) All new syntax forms with code bodies are implicit strict.

Item (2) raises the question of what to do about function-in-block, separated out here as item C below.

B. Should sloppy-mode function-in-block usage on the web be broken, with specific evangelism of 20 or so sites relying on its de-facto intersection semantics that don't intersect with ES6's?

See

https://mail.mozilla.org/pipermail/es-discuss/2012-December/027419.html et seq.

4.7 Fail-fast destructuring with ?-syntax for irrefutable opt-in:

>/1. No ToObject(RHS).

/>/2. Exception on missing property selected without a ?-suffix.
/>/3. ?-suffix allowed on any pattern, imputing undefined deeply instead of refuting. /

>/4: the ? is a separate lexeme from the : in long-hand patterns.

One entry point:

https://mail.mozilla.org/pipermail/es-discuss/2013-January/027800.html, wherein Allen argues cogently against (1) but endorses (2-4).

Brendan

- 4.8 Refactored new operator and the @@create method
- 4.9 Update on built-in subclassing based upon @@create
- 4.10 data to share on real world javascript usage (function-in-block, duplicate parameter names, const, strict mode, var let/let[x]=1, and maybe more) if people are interested. Could also just distribute the deck if the agenda is tight.



4.11 Name property of functions

http://wiki.ecmascript.org/doku.php?id=strawman:name_property_of_functions

4.12 TypedArrays: specification progress and open issues. (Allen)

4.13 Revisit the @-names discussion/resolution from the November meeting.

A significant body of work has emerged that I feel makes a strong case towards making an exception to the cut-off deadline. I'd like to urge everyone to take a moment to review this: <u>https://github.com/Benvie/continuum/tree/gh-pages/engine/builtins</u>

- 5 Edition 5.1 Issues
- 6 Second edition of ECMA-402.
- 6.1 Status report
- 7 Test 262 Progression
- 7.1 Status report
- 8 Status Reports
- 8.1 Report from Geneva
 - 8.1.1 Brief report from the IPR meeting
- 8.1.2 Approval of the TC39 RFTG Scope (TC39-2013-003)
- 9 Date and place of the next meeting(s)

Schedule 2013 meetings:

- March 12 14, 2013 (Yahoo)
- May 21 23, 2013 (Google)
- July 23 25, 2013 (Redmond)
- September 24 26, 2013 (Boston)
- November 19 21, 2013 (PayPal)

10 Closure