Ecma/TC39-TG1/2006/017



Minutes of the: held in: on:

Ecma TC39-TG1 Phone conference 31st March 2006

Attendees

- Francis Cheng, Adobe Systems
- Jeff Dyer, Adobe Systems
- Brendan Eich, Mozilla Foundation
- Cormac Flanagan, UC Santa Cruz
- Gary Grossman, Adobe Systems
- Lars Hansen, Opera Software
- Dave Herman, Northeastern University
- Graydon Hoare, Mozilla Foundation
- Blake Kaplan, Mozilla Foundation
- Edwin Smith, Adobe Systems

Agenda

- ECMA rules and roles
- Dave's progress on spec language
- Lars' questions about scope

Notes

- ECMA Rules and Roles
 - o pragmatic standards approach
 - o invited expert definition
- Proposals marked with (!) need attention
- Lars' proposal on scopes
 - Lars' questions about scopes for directives and definitions
 - Package questions: yes (name visible only in def'n and lexically after), and yes (no nesting)
 Why braced body if no nesting?
 - o Classes and interfaces visible in entire prog, but no fwd refs in extends clauses
 - \circ $\;$ Namespaces: no hoisting to top of function, but hoist to top of block
 - Use directive: lexical (block) scope, pragma (compile-time directive)
 - Import is same as use, but what about switch cases or other conditional control flow?
 Lars proposes restricting to top-level prog/pkg/class/func
 - Function: class code can access only statics
 - Sidebar on whether let x = y; should hoist x in enclosing block resolved: yes
 - o Revisit use on account of hoisting symmetry: should

let x = 42

Ecma International Rue du Rhône 114 CH-1204 Geneva T/F: +41 22 849 6000/01 www.ecma-international.org



print(x)
use namespace N
print(x)
} // where N::x = 33

print 42 and then 33, or 33 twice?

- Should import and use be allowed only first in a prog/pkg/class/func/block? Yes.
- o namespace N is just like let N
- Motivation for namespaces from Flex SDK
- o Dave: complexity from number of scope management tools gives pause
- Jeff will write namespace use-cases page in wiki
- o Brendan to send osteele and micah writings on namespace usability probs
- Type system
 - \circ Dave: working on formal notation for semantics, believes rigor will help.
 - Experimenting with <u>Stratego</u> (older page) and <u>Maude</u>.
 - \circ $\;$ Has fair amount of core Edition 3 in Stratego.
 - o http://www.ccs.neu.edu/home/dherman/javascript/tg1/formal-semantics/
 - Stratego example: <u>http://www.ccs.neu.edu/home/dherman/javascript/tg1/formal-</u> semantics/stratego/ES-eval.str.html
 - Brendan notes Reference type history, advocates eliminating from ES4 in favor of LHS grammar specialization
 - Maude may allow provers to be hooked up
 - Lars: Haskell instead, for a type system? Dave: have to invent pattern-matching, hook up model and meta languages
 - Cormac: accessible to audiences of ES4 spec? yes, we think aspire to make it so
 - Cormac: dependency chain from runtime semantics to type system to contract system
- Spec fixing
 - Lars and everyone to update bugs